

MINUTES FOR BOARD MEETING OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE, INTERIOR DESIGN AND RESIDENTIAL DESIGN (NSBAIDRD) May 25, 2022

Virtual Board Meeting – Video conference and audio offered via Zoom

Wednesday, May 25, 2022

Chairman Greg Erny called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

Roll Call: Gregory Erny (Chairman), Ann Fleming, George Garlock, James Mickey, John Morelli, William Snyder, and Nathaniel Waugh. Kimberly Ciesynski (Secretary/Treasurer) and Tina Wichmann were excused.

Also in attendance: Monica Harrison (Executive Director), Louis Ling (Legal Counsel), Laura Bach (Chief Investigator), and Stacey Hatfield (Public Information Officer).

NSBAIDRD Mission Statement:

“The mission of the NSBAIDRD is to promote, preserve, and protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public by regulating architects, registered interior designers, and residential designers to provide competent professional services in the built environment.”

AGENDA ITEM 1 Public comment

There was no public comment.

AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of consent agenda

Consent agenda included the following:

- A. Approval of Agenda
- B. Approval of Minutes: March 23, 2022, and April 26, 2022
- C. Secretary/Treasurer Report (Reports and bank statements)
 - a. Nevada Architect, Registered Interior Designer and Residential Designer Licensing Statistics
 - b. Wells Fargo Bank Statements
 - c. Bank of Nevada Statements
 - d. First Independent Bank Statements
 - e. March 2022 Budget Report
- D. Ratification of Reciprocal Licenses (see below)
- E. Firm Name Approval Requests
 - a. AAPRD LLC
 - b. HELT Design
 - c. non-autonomous design lab (nadl)
 - d. OLSONPROJECTS LLC
 - e. TSArchitects, Inc.
 - f. Autonomy Architecture

- g. Studio W Associates, Inc.
- h. NASCO
- F. Firm Registration Approval Requests
 - a. DCO Architects
 - b. Fish Design and Architecture, LLC
 - c. HEALTH FACILITIES GROUP, LLC
 - d. IKB Architecture
 - e. RDG Architects PC
 - f. T + G Studio, LLC
 - g. Engineers-Architects, P.C.
 - h. GN Architecture
 - i. SDG Architects, Inc.

Architects: Registration by Reciprocity

8865	Jason L. Coats	8885	Jack D. Patton	8904	Jason T. Anderson
8866	Tania Posada Elyseu	8886	Hoang Dang	8905	Joseph F. Sarra Jr.
8868	Jeanne-Marie Sophia Fox	8887	Margaret S. Parsons	8906	David Galante
8869	David A. Collins	8888	Ong Yen Ong	8907	Jovica George Zivkovic
8870	Derek Conrad Keil	8889	Jason Matthew Agostinelli	8908	Kristina L.W. Raupach
8871	Scott Gilberg	8890	Danielle Wyss	8910	John F. Mufarreh
8872	Zachary William Sumada	8891	Shaun J. Jennings	8911	Joel H. Anderson
8873	Dennis R. Wilson	8892	Mark Pomarico	8912	J. Breck Childers
8874	Steven Louis Baker	8893	Sara A. Frederick	8913	Warren Ray Sturgill
8875	Seiichi Mori	8894	Nicole R. Curry	8914	Donald Davis
8876	Laura K. Ballantyne	8895	Scott Marshall Cyphers	8915	Robert York Crockett
8877	Lucy Baraquio	8896	Marlene Borruso	8916	Jeffrey Richard Barnes
8878	Mark D. Husser	8897	Christopher Francis Knotz	8917	Brian Paul Whitmore
8879	Craig E. De Jong	8898	Adam Sen Golinczak	8918	Beat Schenk
8880	Hyeonjoo Park	8899	Brant Gordon	8919	Kai-Uwe Bergmann
8881	Robert Anthony Papocchia	8900	Joseph Galbraith	8921	Brian Ward Starr
8882	Paul Erik Nelson	8901	Robert Pribish	8923	Leigh Grimes Jaunsen
8883	Ryan Rominger	8902	John G. Pfluger	8924	John D. Boline
8884	Edwin Ernest Smart	8903	Greg R. Osecheck	8925	Charles R. Tookey

Residential Designers: Registration by Reciprocity

414-RD David M. Cardenas

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the consent agenda. Motion seconded by Morelli.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 4

Review of the feedback received from the board’s Customer Service Survey sent on March 28, 2022

Harrison reviewed responses from the board’s customer service survey. Overall, responses were positive, with most respondents being satisfied or very satisfied with the board’s customer service. Harrison said she reviewed responses with board staff and staff followed up to address any concerns if respondents included contact information.

Erny said that architect Dwayne Eshenbaugh commented in the Zoom Chat that he recently requested a wallet card and received it within 30 minutes.

Board members suggested publishing articles in *Focus* to address some of the comments. Board members said the survey should be sent every two years.

AGENDA ITEM 3A

Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Architects

Erny greeted the attendees and explained the swearing-in process and ceremony.

Snyder swore-in the following individuals as architects:

- 1. Adam J. Bradshaw8909
- 2. Destanee J. Cook.....8920
- 3. David Reynold McCredo III8922

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as architects. Motion seconded by Morelli.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 3B

Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Registered Interior Designers

Fleming swore-in the following individuals as registered interior designers:

- 1. Lee Victoria Gott285-ID
- 2. Paige McFadden.....286-ID
- 3. Gregory Nelson.....287-ID

Motion: Mickey moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as registered interior designers. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Erny and other board members congratulated the new registrants. Erny encouraged the new registrants to share any comments or concerns on the licensure process.

New registrants shared comments on the licensure process.

Carlos Fernandez, Executive Director of AIA Las Vegas and AIA Nevada, congratulated the new registrants for achieving licensure. He said that the new registrants would be receiving a certificate of achievement in the mail and invited them to join AIA.

Harrison informed new registrants that if they plan to register a firm, they can reach out to board staff for guidance. She also said that they are exempt from earning continuing education units for the first two years of licensure.

AGENDA ITEM 5 **Consideration and possible action regarding pay recommendations for board approval: Bach, Harrison, Hatfield, Hernandez, Quenga and Roque**

Motion: Garlock moved to go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241.030 because the discussion may involve a staff member's character, alleged misconduct, professional competence, or similar items. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

The board went into closed session.

Motion: Garlock moved to return to open session. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve COLA and merit increases for all staff. Motion seconded by Morelli.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 6 **Review and possible approval of proposed contract with Ling Ltd. to provide legal services to the NSBAIDRD**

Ling presented his proposed contract to the board. If approved by the board it will go to the Clerk for the Board of Examiners for signature.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the proposed contract with Ling Ltd. to provide legal services to the NSBAIDRD. Motion seconded by Mickey.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 7 **Review and possible approval regarding the request of an exemption to use the term "architecture" to file as a professional association with the Secretary of State**

Erny said a letter of request from former residential designer board member Larry Tindall is in the board e-books. The letter is asking for the board to allow a new non-profit to use the name "Nevada Institute of Residential Architecture." When the Secretary of State receives a request to use the term "architecture," it asks for proof of registration with the board. This is not a request to approve the name of the non-

profit, it is a request to send a letter to the Secretary of State saying that board has no opposition to the organization using the term “architecture” in its name.

Garlock said he will not support use of the term “residential architecture” because it is confusing and can mislead the public because residential designers cannot use the term “architecture.”

Morelli said residential architecture is an industry and residential design is a profession. He added that the public does not understand what residential designers do.

Waugh suggested changing the name to “Nevada Institute of Residential Design and Architecture” as it would be more inclusive, including residential designers and registered interior designers.

Tindall said the goal was to create an inclusive entity to promote residential design and architecture. He is amenable to changing the name to “Nevada Institute of Residential Design and Architecture.”

Ling asked Morelli what his role is with the non-profit and if his name will appear on any foundational documents. Morelli said he will be a member and that as long as he is serving on this board, he will not have an officer or board position on the non-profit.

Motion: Morelli moved for the board to send a letter to the Secretary of State stating that the board has no opposition to use of the name “Nevada Institute of Residential Design and Architecture.” Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: Garlock opposed. Erny did not vote. All others in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8 **Update regarding the status of Dennis Rusk’s license restoration and potential action related thereto**

Board member Kimberly Ciesynski joined the meeting for Agenda Item 8 only. Dennis Rusk and Leo Flangas, Esq., Attorney for Rusk, were in attendance. Garlock and Snyder recused themselves from this agenda item.

Erny said that the board e-book includes a letter sent to Dennis Rusk outlining the requirements for him to obtain a registration, based on the passed motion from the March 2022 board meeting, an unsigned (by Rusk) proposal for a payment plan, and other relevant documents to this agenda item.

Erny said that shortly after the March 2022 board meeting, Rusk tested positive for COVID-19, preventing him from attending the Montana Building Codes Education Conference, which was one of the requirements for obtaining registration. Erny added that Rusk completed the other courses required and has secured funds to pay the initial \$10,000 payment.

Erny said the Montana seminar included 30 hours of code classes in a structured setting. The classes being proposed by Rusk today are 11 hours of on-line, self-directed classes and do not cover all the areas the board deemed necessary to protect to public.

Flangas presented proposed courses to be substituted for the Montana seminar. He said he has not been able to find an in-person seminar similar to the Montana seminar being held prior to Fall 2022.

Ling said the board approved a motion for conditional licensure at the March 2022 board meeting. The conditions required cannot be met at this point because the Montana course has passed. The board has two options today. The board can take no action and the original motion will stand, or the board can modify the motion passed at the March meeting. Ling said that in licensure matters the burden is on the applicant to prove to the board that he meets licensure requirements.

Erny said this agenda item is about granting a license to an individual, it is not about continuing education class hours. It is important that the rigor and content of any classes taken be up to the standard to protect the public.

Ciesynski asked why the document outlining a payment plan was not signed. Flangas said it was not agreed to because Rusk could not meet the initial conditions after missing the Montana seminar and knew he would have to appear again before the board. He said they would prefer a payment plan where Rusk would have a six-month window before making payments. He then offered to help Rusk secure a loan for the remaining balance and have it paid to the board within 30 days, alleviating any payment plan.

Board members asked questions about the proposed course substitutions presented by Flangas. The consensus was that the classes were not equivalent to the courses that would have been taken in the Montana seminar. There was also concern about evidence of attendance if classes are taken online.

Waugh expressed concern with the original motion because the conditions could never be met. He suggested outlining course content and hours instead to allow for flexibility.

Flangas suggested tabling the item and bringing a different proposal to the board in the future.

Mickey reminded Rusk that the granting of the license is still conditional, and until all terms are met and a license is issued, he cannot hold himself out as being able to provide architectural services in Nevada. He also suggested that the board could convene a special meeting if there is a potential class before the August 2022 meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 9

Review of regulation language related to the NCARB Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) to determine

Harrison said that the board e-book includes a proposed regulation change to allow the board to accept reciprocal applicants who obtained an NCARB certificate through a Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA). Currently, Nevada requires all applicants for architecture registration through reciprocity to pass the ARE. NCARB does not require applicants who obtain their certificate through an MRA to pass the ARE. The proposed language in the board e-books includes NCARB's recommendations.

Ling said that board needs to decide if they want to allow MRA applicants to get registered in Nevada. The board also needs to decide if they want to remove the ARE requirement for any reciprocity applicant, or only those coming through the MRA process.

Board members discussed the issues. They said they need to find out what pathways are available for an applicant to obtain an NCARB certificate without passing the ARE. They directed staff to amend the proposed language to only exempt MRA applicants from the ARE requirement. Further research and discussion can be had on the topic. Amending this language will further demonstrate that the board is streamlining the licensure process while still protecting the public.

Board directed staff to submit amended language to LCB to ensure it can be a permanent regulation change. The new proposed language will be presented at the next board meeting, and if approved, will be scheduled for future workshops and hearings.

AGENDA ITEM 10 **Review and discussion related to implementing additional requirements for retired members of a registered firm pursuant to NAC 623.740**

Erny said this item stems from a discussion at a previous board meeting. Regulations currently require firms that use a member's name in the firm name indicate on their letterhead if a member is deceased or retired. This does not impact firms that use acronyms. There are many ways firms hold themselves out to the public, including websites and social media. Erny said the board can discuss if it wants to amend this regulation to include other forms of communication.

Ling said that there are boards that regulate what must or cannot be included in any advertising or communications. This can be done if there is a cause for the public protection. The board can decide if it wants to amend this regulation to include other forms of communications or delete the regulation if it is outdated.

Board members discussed the issue. Waugh said that from his view as the public member the requirement is no longer relevant. Snyder said it is important because firms change ownership frequently.

The board directed Ling to draft language and include it as a separate section in the regulation change discussed under the previous agenda item. This will be presented at a future board meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 11A-1 **Case No. 22-026N in the matter of Dezirae Bruni and BD Interiors**

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (b) and (c) by holding herself out as an architect and engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this board.

Staff received an anonymous complaint alleging that Respondent prepared plans for a residential renovation located at 739 Rancho Circle, Las Vegas, Nevada. A review of the database revealed that the Respondent is not registered with the board. A review of the NSCB database returned negative results as well. A notice of investigation was sent and the Respondent disclosed another project for which she prepared drawings.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause, an Effect on Licensure clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$5,000 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,800.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Garlock.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11A-2 Case No. 22-029N in the matter of Jonathan Jaeger

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (c) by engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this board.

Staff received an anonymous complaint alleging that Respondent prepared plans for a custom home in Reno, Nevada. A review of the database revealed that the Respondent is not registered with the board. A notice of investigation was sent. The Respondent stated that he was not aware that he could not provide architectural drawings for a residential project as he has done them in Colorado and California and was not required to be registered there.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause, an Effect on Licensure clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$3,000 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,600.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11A-3 Case No. 22-037N in the matter of Tommy Li

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a) and (b) by holding himself out as an architect and offering to provide services that fall under the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this board.

Staff received a complaint from Dee Wang alleging that Respondent was referring to himself as an architect on a Notice of Intent to Lien. The Complainant hired Respondent to do a garage conversion and paid him \$3,000. There were multiple corrections that were not addressed so Complainant did not pay Respondent the balance owed. Respondent filed a lien in which he referred to himself as an architect. A review of the database revealed that the Respondent is not registered with the board.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$2,500 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,500.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11A-4 Case No. 22-038N in the matter of Luis Lopez Pena

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (c) by engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this board.

During the background check of a new applicant, Respondent Luis Lopez Pena, it was discovered that he had prepared drawings for a general contractor on a 1099 basis. The drawings were for a bathroom addition and a casita.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause, an Effect on Licensure clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$1,100 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,400.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Mickey moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11A-5 Case No. 22-042N in the matter of Richard Terrones and Dreiling and Terrones

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (c) by engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this board.

Staff received a reciprocity file for Respondent who had answered yes to the question that asks, "Have you or any firm or business at which you practice architecture provided a proposal to a client, entered into a contract or agreement with a client, prepared drawings for a client, or otherwise performed architectural work for a project located or contemplated in Nevada?" The explanation said that he had prepared a site plan and utility details for an owner-builder project for a family member.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an

opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause, an Effect on Licensure clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$1,000 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,000.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Garlock.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11A-6 Case No. 22-026N in the matter of Robin Goldbeck and Goldbeck Architects

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a) (b) and (c) by holding herself out and engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this board.

Staff received a reciprocity file for Respondent who had answered no to the question that asks, “Have you or any firm or business at which you practice architecture provided a proposal to a client, entered into a contract or agreement with a client, prepared drawings for a client, or otherwise performed architectural work for a project located or contemplated in Nevada?” During the background check she was asked if she had prepared a proposal or any drawings to which she replied that she had. Bach received copies of both.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent’s case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause, an Effect on Licensure clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$3,500 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,500.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11B Discussion and possible decision regarding closure of enforcement cases

Bach recommended the following cases for closure without disciplinary action:

22-025N 22-028N 22-031N 22-033N 22-043N

Motion: Mickey moved to approve the closure of the cases listed above without disciplinary action. Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: Erny recused himself. All others in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 11-C

Enforcement Report

Bach said that she attended a review of projects done by fifth-year students at UNLV. She enjoyed the event and would participate in the future if invited.

AGENDA ITEM 11-C1

Enforcement Report – Discussion related to whether architects and residential designers can provide pool design services for standalone projects

Bach said she received a question asking if an architect or residential designer can design a pool for a residence that is not part of the design professional’s residential project. She said that she was under the impression that they cannot design a pool separately. In talking to building departments she found that the design professional will usually do a site plan for the pool but leave the design to someone else because there are structural and mechanical elements. Three southern Nevada building departments will accept drawings for pools from architects and residential designers as long as they meet industry standards. Bach outlined the requirements that a pool contractor must meet to be licensed in Nevada.

Board members discussed the process of having a residential pool designed, submitted to the building department and built.

Residential designer David Blay said that he is a member of three design review committees. The committees are increasingly seeing engineers who specialize in pool design submitting pool designs that have not taken into account the residence, landscape architecture or other elements of the site. These pools are often added after the house is designed and/or built. Design committees need to know if an architect or residential designer can design these pool projects.

Board members agreed that any pool design must be done to the standard of care of those in the industry and to the satisfaction of the building department.

Ling referred board members to the definitions of residential design and architecture.

The “practice of residential design” consists of rendering services embracing the scientific, esthetic or orderly coordination of processes which enter into:

- 1. The production of a completed:*
 - (a) Single-family dwelling unit; or*
 - (b) Multifamily dwelling structure that does not exceed two stories in height and is composed of not more than four units in that structure; and*
- 2. The use of space within and surrounding the unit or structure, performed through the medium of plans, specifications, administration of construction, preliminary studies, consultations, evaluations, investigations, contract documents, and advice and direction.*

The “practice of architecture” consists of rendering services embracing the scientific, esthetic and orderly coordination of processes which enter into the production of a completed structure which has as its principal purpose human habitation or occupancy, or the utilization of space within and surrounding the structure, performed through the

medium of plans, specifications, administration of construction, preliminary studies, consultations, evaluations, investigations, contract documents and advice and direction.

Ling said both definitions say they can design the space within and surrounding the structure. The design of the space is tied back to the structure. The design professional must design the structure, in this case the residence, to then also design the space surrounding, which can include landscape design and/or a pool.

Morelli said he thinks residential designers should be able to design the pool even if they haven't designed the residence. He thinks that homeowners are not well-served otherwise because they can only hire pool contractors or an unlicensed person.

Board members asked if an architect or residential designer could design a pool if they were also designing a pool building or cabana? Ling said no, because those structures are incidental to the pool.

Bach said she would like to publish an article in the *Focus* newsletter on this topic. Board members said she should present a draft at the next board meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 12A **Architect Report – Review, discussion and potential action related to the final resolutions for 2022 NCARB resolutions that will be discussed at the Annual Business Meeting in Austin, Texas**

Erny asked if board members wanted to go through each resolution. There will be a delegation at the annual meeting voting on these resolutions. Board members said that since resolutions can change at the meeting, the delegation could vote as they saw fit at the meeting. There were no concerns with the resolutions as drafted.

AGENDA ITEM 12B **Architect Report - FYI: Annual Business Meeting Pre-Briefing**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting e-book.

AGENDA ITEM 12C **Architect Report - FYI: NCARB CEO Michael Armstrong: ARE Candidate Data Requests**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting e-book.

AGENDA ITEM 12D **Architect Report - FYI: NCARB Fast Facts – March and April 2022**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting e-book.

AGENDA ITEM 12E **Architect Report - FYI: NCARB Update April 2022**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting e-book.

AGENDA ITEM 13A **Residential Designer Report: Update of the residential design exam**

Morelli reported said that the committee met twice and is moving forward with the exam update. Erny said that the committee is hoping to have a draft of the exam to present to the board in October, for administration in February 2023.

AGENDA ITEM 14 **Registered Interior Designer Report**

Fleming said she applied for a director position on the CIDQ Board of Directors. Erny thanked her for volunteering for the position.

AGENDA ITEM 14A **Registered Interior Designer Report – FYI: ICOR Overlapping Practice Webinar**

Fleming said tomorrow there is a webinar to discuss overlapping practice. Fleming, Harrison and Hatfield will attend.

AGENDA ITEM 14B **Registered Interior Designer Report – FYI: Q Connection – Spring 2022**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting e-book.

AGENDA ITEM 15 **Public Member Report**

Waugh said he is starting a doctorate program in August.

AGENDA ITEM 16 **Executive Director Report**

Harrison said the database migration is ongoing. She said the next board meeting will be August 17. It will be virtual because the Residential Design Exam will be administered the following day. The exam will be administered August 18-19 in Reno and Las Vegas. The October 19 meeting location has not yet been determined.

AGENDA ITEM 17 **Board Counsel Report**

Ling had nothing to report.

AGENDA ITEM 18

Public Information Report

Hatfield shared the proposed topics for the CEU Seminar. Board members did not have any objections. Snyder volunteered to help identify a section of the IBC that would be applicable to architects and registered interior designers. Mickey offered suggestions for code topics.

Hatfield and Fleming spoke at the ASID Student Career Day. Hatfield spoke at the AIA High School Design Awards at UNLV. Hatfield proposed the board host an outreach program similar to a recent event from Wisconsin AIA called “Ask NCARB Anything.” It is for candidates and potential candidates to ask questions about AXP, ARE and alternate paths. AIA Nevada and NCARB have agreed to participate. Board members said she should go forward with the program.

Hatfield said there is information included in the board e-books, FYI.

AGENDA ITEM 19

Items for Future Agenda

- Possible amendments to NAC 623 to delete outdated items
- Review proposed amendments to NAC 623.410 to allow the board to accept MRA candidates
- Review proposed amendments to NAC 623.740 regarding what is required for retired or deceased members named in a firm name
- Draft *Focus* article regarding whether architects and residential designers can provide pool design services

AGENDA ITEM 20

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Chairman Erny adjourned the meeting at 4:00 p.m.

Monica Harrison, Executive Director

Greg Erny, Chairman