

**MINUTES FOR BOARD MEETING OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE, INTERIOR DESIGN AND RESIDENTIAL DESIGN (NSBAIDRD)**

**March 23, 2022**

Virtual Board Meeting – Video conference and audio offered via Zoom

**Wednesday, March 23, 2022**

Chairman Greg Erny called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

Roll Call: Gregory Erny (Chairman), Kimberly Ciesynski (Secretary/Treasurer), Ann Fleming, George Garlock, James Mickey, John Morelli, William Snyder, and Tina Wichmann. Nathaniel Waugh was excused.

Also in attendance: Monica Harrison (Executive Director), Louis Ling (Legal Counsel), Laura Bach (Chief Investigator), and Stacey Hatfield (Public Information Officer).

**NSBAIDRD Mission Statement:**

“The mission of the NSBAIDRD is to promote, preserve, and protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public by regulating architects, registered interior designers, and residential designers to provide competent professional services in the built environment.”

**AGENDA ITEM 1                      Public comment**

There was no public comment.

**AGENDA ITEM 2                      Approval of consent agenda**

Consent agenda included the following:

- A. Approval of Agenda
- B. Approval of Minutes: January 19, 2022
- C. Secretary/Treasurer Report (Reports and bank statements)
  - 1. Nevada Architect, Registered Interior Designer and Residential Designer Licensing Statistics
  - 2. Wells Fargo Bank Statements
  - 3. Bank of Nevada Statements
  - 4. First Independent Bank Statements
- D. Ratification of Reciprocal Licenses (see attached list)
- E. Firm Name Approval Requests
  - 1. DG Architectural and Engineering Facility Solutions, PLLC
  - 2. High Architecture, LLC
  - 3. Maestri, LLC
  - 4. Banneker
  - 5. MAG Architecture
  - 6. Paradym Studio LLC
  - 7. ENERGY ARCHITECTURE NORTHEAST, INC.
  - 8. Frommell Architect, LLC

9. H3M ARCHITECTURE PLLC

10. Matt Made, Inc.

F. Firm Registration Approval Requests

1. M3 Engineering & Technology Corporation
2. Ryan Group Architects, Incorporated

**Architects: Registration by Reciprocity**

8797	John N. Myers	8819	Christine Huynh Ly	8841	Clyde P. Drewett, Jr.
8798	Tara Sypriano	8820	Evan Lieb Beattie	8842	Robert J. Davidson
8799	Derek Wilson	8821	Edward J. Maestri Jr.	8843	Scott C. Martin
8800	Monica Robertson	8822	Gary R. Caperna	8844	Jolly Thulaseedas
8801	Bryce A. Turner	8823	Gregory P. Miller	8845	David C. Wright
8802	Kenneth O. Miller	8824	Darrell Sears	8846	Andrew S. Rutenbeck
8803	Rocky J. Baer	8825	Daniel Farber	8847	David Brechley
8804	Matthew G. Dzik	8826	Nicholas Wade Simmerman	8848	Stephen L. Lewallen
8805	Jose Gonzalez	8827	Jordan Ben Goldstein	8849	Kevin A. Taylor
8806	Matthew F. Cortez	8828	Scott R. Lloyd	8852	Celia L. Bowman
8807	John Ike	8829	Bradley Gygi	8853	Luke A. Havrilla
8808	Samuel Lee Jones Severns	8830	Scott Douglas Allen	8854	Richard Burton
8809	David R. Johnson	8831	Scott Cameron Pullen	8855	Michael Voegtle
8810	John Charles Sponseller	8832	Timothy L. Grissom	8856	Michael Lawrence Silberstein
8811	Jordan Kane Pennington	8833	Nathan Lee Colkitt	8857	Karl Benjamin Loescher
8812	Peter Paul Rusin Jr.	8834	Eric Arthur Cranston	8858	Jed Prest
8813	Jonathan Stern	8835	Kevin M. Utz	8859	Jason L. Newman
8814	Philip S. LiBassi	8836	Gregory Dean Register	8860	David Jerome Kelley
8815	Erik Karl Fritzberg	8837	James McCoy	8861	Joshua B. Pranger
8816	Christopher Scott Frommell	8838	Justin Markland	8862	Karl Kowalske
8817	Donald W. Bain Jr.	8839	Cameron Lyle Baker	8863	Gregg R. Kirkendall
8818	Paul Louis Bentel	8840	Kent Greene	8864	Mark Granillo Bonillas

**Residential Designers: Registration by Reciprocity**

411-RD Alex Chiappetta

**Motion:** Garlock moved to approve the consent agenda items 2A through 2E-1, 2E-3, 2E-5 through 2F-2. Motion seconded by Snyder.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 2E-2**

**Firm name approval request: High Architecture, LLC**

Erny questioned use of the superlative term, “high,” and asked if it would fall under use of similar terminology like “best” or “outstanding.” Ling said NAC 623.740.8 states a proposed name cannot be

false, misleading, or deceptive. Board members discussed if the term “high” was false, misleading or deceptive.

**Motion:** Ciesynski moved to approve the firm name approval request of “High Architecture, LLC.” Motion seconded by Garlock.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 2E-4 Firm name approval request: Banneker**

Mickey asked for clarification about the variety of firm names associated the application. Harrison confirmed that there are two DBAs, “Banneker” and “Ward Architecture and Design,” and a business license for “Ward Allied Corp.” Registrant Steve Ward owns 100 percent of “Ward Allied Corp.”

**Motion:** Mickey moved to approve the firm name approval request of “Banneker.” Motion seconded by Snyder.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 5 Discussion and possible decision relating to registrants Larry Tindall and Barry Greene taking responsibility for two projects prepared by late registrant Thomas O’Neill**

Erny said this item was pulled from the agenda.

**AGENDA ITEM 6 CE Seminar briefing and discussion about the 2022 seminar agenda**

Hatfield reported that the committee met to brainstorm ideas for speakers. The committee will identify topics soon and will work with NCARB to try to identify speakers for those topics. One likely topic will cover zero net carbon design. WCARB is putting together a CEU on that topic in May and the committee may decide to base a class on it. Part of zero net carbon design is resilience around wildfires which is important for Nevada.

**AGENDA ITEM 12D Architect Report - FYI: NCARB Fast Facts – January and February 2022**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting eBook.

**AGENDA ITEM 12E Architect Report - FYI: NCARB Data by Jurisdiction – Nevada (January 2022)**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting eBook.

**AGENDA ITEM 12F Architect Report - FYI: NCARB Update February**

This information was presented to the board in the board meeting eBook.

**AGENDA ITEM 13A Residential Designer Report: Update of the residential design exam**

Morelli reported the test was administered in February. Statistics are:

- Graphic exam: 6 tests administered, 4 passed
- Structural Technology: 9 tests administered, 2 passed
- Materials and Methods of Construction: 9 tests administered, 5 passed
- Building Systems and Life Safety: 7 tests administered, 5 passed

Harrison said there were 12 total candidates, more than in the recent past.

**AGENDA ITEM 13B Residential Designer Report: Update of the residential design writing committee**

Morelli said there was nothing to report.

Harrison said contract was approved and NCARB will reach out to test writing volunteers. She added that some people who were on the list have dropped out and she has had to recruit additional volunteers.

**AGENDA ITEM 3A Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Architects**

Erny greeted the attendees and explained the swearing-in process and ceremony.

Ciesynski swore-in the following individuals as architects:

1. Torrey D. E. Tracy .....8850
2. Taylor John Wolak.....8851
3. Amy N. Cordes .....8867

**Motion:** Snyder moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as architects.

Motion seconded by Wichmann.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 3B Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Registered Interior Designers**

Ciesynski swore-in the following individuals as registered interior designers:

1. Carina Gaytan .....283-ID
2. Erica A. McLaughlin .....284-ID

**Motion:** Ciesynski moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as registered interior designers. Motion seconded by Fleming.  
**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 3C**                    **Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Residential Designers**

Ciesynski swore-in the following individual as a residential designer:  
2. David Chacón Jr.....413-RD

Edgar Montalvo was not able to connect to the meeting.

**Motion:** Morelli moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individual as a residential designer. Motion seconded by Snyder.  
**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

Erny and other board members congratulated the new registrants. Erny encouraged the new registrants to share any comments or concerns on the licensure process.

Glenn Nowak, Graduate Coordinator from UNLV’s School of Architecture, extended congratulations on behalf of the school. He congratulated the four UNLV graduates, Tracy, Wolak, Cordes and Gaytan. He encouraged all new registrants to become involved with the school.

Carlos Fernandez, Executive Director of AIA Las Vegas and AIA Nevada, congratulated the new registrants for achieving licensure. He said that the new registrants would be receiving a certificate of achievement in the mail and invited them to join AIA.

New registrants thanked friends, family and co-workers for their support on the licensing journey. They also said that board staff was helpful during the process.

Harrison informed new registrants that if they plan to register a firm, they can reach out to board staff for guidance. She also said that they are exempt from earning continuing education units for the first two years of licensure.

**AGENDA ITEM 4**                    **Update of the implementation of the bachelor’s degree in architecture at TMCC – Presented by Professor Kreg Mebust, Residential Designer**

Kreg Mebust presented the proposed Bachelor of Architecture program at TMCC, which was recently approved by NSHE. They are currently waiting to hear from the Norwest Commission on Colleges and Universities. Mebust briefly shared an outline of the program they hope to start in Fall 2022, with a first graduating class in Spring 2025. They will pursue NAAB accreditation and an IPAL option.

Erny asked if this is the first community college to offer a Bachelor of Architecture. Mebust said he believes it is. Erny offered congratulations on leading the way and said there are other places in the country who would like to follow this lead.

**AGENDA ITEM 9**                    **Review and possible decision regarding the Customer Service Survey as outlined in the Board’s Strategic Plan**

Harrison reminded board members that a tactic in the Strategic Plan was to conduct a survey. She said that the Texas Board (TBAE) sends a survey annually and she received it shortly after the last board meeting. This draft survey is modelled after the Texas survey. Hatfield presented the draft survey to board members.

Board members reviewed all questions. It was decided to delete Question 8 because board members act as a whole and are not necessarily “available” to the public. Persons who have questions should be encouraged to contact the board office, not individual board members.

Harrison said that once the results are received, the board can address feedback as needed. Board staff can directly address negative comments if someone provides their contact information.

The board said the survey should be sent as soon as possible, and annually thereafter. It was suggested it could be sent as part of renewals. The board would like results presented at the next meeting.

**AGENDA ITEM 10**                    **Review and possible decision regarding the NSBAIDRD Data Breach Policy**

Harrison said that the board should be prepared if there is a data breach and staff should be trained how to react should that occur. She outlined a draft policy for board consideration. The board’s database provider also has their own policies and procedures.

Board members asked if the state has a policy. Harrison said it does. Should a breach occur with the board, part of the draft policy includes staff contacting EITS and following state protocol. It was suggested that the policy be updated to include taking an individual, infected desktop offline should a breach occur through a desktop, and not directly on the server.

Board members asked if the board was protected from potential financial liability. Harrison said that EITS maintains the server and the office internet connection is through the state. Ling said this could offer possible protection. Harrison said the database provider also has its own liability insurance. The board directed Harrison to research if further coverage is necessary.

**Motion:** Snyder moved to approve the NSBAIDRD Data Breach Policy. The board will review the policy in six months and move to an annual review thereafter. Motion seconded by Morelli.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 3C**

**Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Residential Designers**

Edgar Montalvo joined the meeting. Erny asked that he rejoin at 2:00pm as the board has time specific items it must address now. Montalvo agreed.

**AGENDA ITEM 8**

**Review and discussion of the NCARB Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) with Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Tri-National agreement between the US, Mexico and Canada, and the proposed UK MRA**

Roxanne Alston and Katrina Humphrey from NCARB joined the meeting. Erny said that the proposed UK MRA was discussed at the Regional Summit and the membership will be voting on the resolution at the Annual Meeting.

Alston detailed what NCARB transmits to boards when a foreign architect applies for licensure through a MRA. The transmittal looks similar to what the board now receives for a reciprocity applicant. Alston added that under the proposed UK MRA, state boards will have to opt-out of accepting the agreement, as opposed to current agreements which require opting-in. State boards may ask for additional information on any transmittal.

Harrison said that Nevada does not currently accept any applicants through MRAs. NAC 623.410 states applicants must be licensed in another jurisdiction and pass the ARE. Ling said that the rules must be amended to accept MRAs. Board members agreed that NCARB certification should be the standard for licensure.

Board directed staff to draft regulation changes which would allow Nevada to accept MRAs.

Board members said that the board should consider supporting approval of UK MRA at the Annual Meeting.

**AGENDA ITEM 11A-1**

**Case No. 22-002N in the matter of Ali Fakih and SEG**

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a) (b) and (c) by putting out a device and engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this Board.

Staff received a complaint from Brian Johnson that the Respondent had stamped architectural drawings and entered into a contract to provide architectural services for a Starbucks prior to obtaining his engineer's license. A review of the NSBA database revealed the Respondent was not registered with our Board.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A

settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Non-Admission of Guilt clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$5,000 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,300.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

**Motion:** Snyder moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Ciesynski.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 11A-3      Case No. 22-035N in the matter of Hyeonjoo Park and Fish Design & Architecture**

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a) (b) and (c) by holding herself out as being qualified to practice architecture, putting out a device (proposal) and engaging in the practice of architecture without having a certificate of registration issued by this Board.

Staff received a reciprocity file for Respondent who had answered no to the question that asks, “Have you or any firm or business at which you practice architecture provided a proposal to a client, entered into a contract or agreement with a client, prepared drawings for a client, or otherwise performed architectural work for a project located or contemplated in Nevada?” During the phone interview it was discovered that the Respondent had issued a proposal and prepared drawings.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent’s case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause, an Effect on Licensure clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$3,500 with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,500.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

**Motion:** Garlock moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Morelli.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 11A-2      Case No. 22-013R in the matter of Lei Su**

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.270.1 (b) (f) and ROC 4.2 by acting deceitfully and disregarding the rights of others.

Staff received a complaint from registrant Howard Perlman that the Respondent had given her two weeks’ notice and then proceeded to download proprietary files and share them. The files had no relationship to the project she was working on.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this matter. The Respondent’s case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the Respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt clause and an Administrative Penalty of



\$10,000, of which \$8,000 is being stayed, three years' probation and a restriction on her registration that she will not be able to work on multifamily homes/apartments during her probation; with Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,800.

Staff recommended the approval of the settlement agreement.

**Motion:** Morelli moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

**Vote:** Erny and Wichmann recused themselves. All others in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 11B**      **Discussion and possible decision regarding closure of enforcement cases**

Bach recommended the following cases for closure without disciplinary action:

22-021N      22-023N      22-024N      22-027N      22-030N

**Motion:** Garlock moved to approve the closure of the cases listed above without disciplinary action. Motion seconded by Snyder.

**Vote:** Erny recused himself. All others in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 11-C**      **Enforcement Report**

Bach had nothing to report.

**AGENDA ITEM 12A**      **Architect Report – Discussion and possible decision regarding which board members and staff will attend the 2022 Annual Business Meeting on June 2-4 in Austin, Texas**

Harrison said that she and Hatfield will attend the Annual Meeting. Garlock, Snyder and Erny will attend. Ciesynski may attend.

**AGENDA ITEM 12B**      **Architect Report – Review, discussion and potential action related to the Final Resolutions for 2022 NCARB resolutions that will be discussed at the Annual Business Meeting in Austin, Texas**

Erny said the resolutions are in the board books. Erny said that there is a resolution to create a definition for “responsible control” in model law. Resolutions will be discussed at the May meeting.

**AGENDA ITEM 12C**

**Architect Report – Discussion and possible action related to distributing by email the final NCARB’s Analysis of Practice validation survey to all registrants and candidates**

Harrison shared an NCARB request that the board share information about the final validation survey with Nevada registrants and candidates. NCARB would like the board to send an email or share email addresses with NCARB. Harrison said she would prefer to send the emails directly from the board and not send email addresses to NCARB. Board members agreed.

**AGENDA ITEM 7**

**Review, discussion, appearance for oral interview, and potential action regarding application for restoration of license by Dennis Rusk**

Ling said that at the last board meeting, the board asked staff and Flangas/Rusk to meet and discuss alternative paths to meeting the requirements in the board order from Dennis Rusk’s disciplinary case over ten years ago. Ling reported that they met and had good faith discussions in the interim. In the board books the board will see a proposal for Rusk to meet those requirements. There is a listing of proposed classes and exams that Rusk has taken or will take, which Rusk says will address the same concerns as the ICC classes originally required in the order. These classes may also address the board’s concern that as Rusk has not been licensed to practice in Nevada in over ten years, he may not be up to date on current codes. Regarding the financial issue of outstanding fees and costs of approximately \$20,000, Rusk is proposing paying \$10,000 up front, and paying the remaining balance over 24 months.

Erny said he appreciates good faith efforts to try and come to an agreement.

Leo Flangas, Esq., Attorney for Dennis Rusk, walked the board through the proposed courses and exams that Rusk has or will take to meet the educational requirement of the board’s original order. This includes:

- Successful completion of the California Supplemental Exam (CSE)
- Four-day Building Codes Education Course in Montana (March 2022)
- Specific RedVector courses and exams
- Specific NCARB courses and exams

Flangas proposed that when Rusk shows proof of completion of the courses and exams and pays the \$10,000, that he would be able to receive his license. Rusk will then pay \$7,698 over a two-year period. If he does not pay as agreed, board staff would bring the issue back to the board.

Erny discussed the accountability of each class and exam, to ensure it is Rusk who is attending the courses and taking any exam. The ICC classes originally required by the board were chosen not only for their content, but because there was an exam at the end that would have to be passed, ensuring Rusk learned the content. Flangas said that the CSE was a proctored exam. The Montana courses are in-person learning, but no exam is given. Attendees receive a certificate of completion if they attend. Flangas said that Rusk could take the exams for the RedVector and NCARB classes at his office or the board office. Erny said he thought it was a reasonable accommodation for Rusk to take the exams (not courses) at the board office.

Ciesynski said she thinks these new classes meet the objectives of the original order. The negotiated fine is acceptable so the board can move on. Mickey said he agrees with Ciesynski.

Erny said he accepts the negotiated monetary amount, but does not think it should be paid over time. He believes all requirements should be met before granting a license. He is uncomfortable with granting a license today because of the history of this case.

Ling said that the board could make a motion today that would grant Rusk a license, subject to meeting the conditions discussed today. No license would be issued until all requirements are met, except for the two-year payment plan.

Bach suggested this item be brought back at the May meeting, giving Rusk time to complete the courses and exams. At the May meeting he could present proof that he met all the requirements. This would also give Rusk time to pay \$10,000, or obtain a loan for the full amount. She also suggested a shortened payment plan of \$500 per month for 15 months.

Mickey said he is comfortable with the plan put forward today and that the board can suspend or revoke the license if the payment plan is not met. Morelli, Wichmann and Fleming agreed.

Erny invited Rusk to address the board. Rusk said he hoped the board would agree with Ling's recommendation. Flangas said "and the recommended agreement, we appreciate it," which Rusk then repeated.

Mickey asked if the board needed an official, written agreement to move forward since there are so many moving parts. Ling said a written agreement could be presented at the next board meeting. He added that Flangas did propose a written document, but Ling opted to present the terms orally instead. Ling said the terms laid out in the board book include: the board will issue a conditional license today, subject to a certificate and number not being issued until Rusk provides proof of completion of classes and exams (outlined above) and pays \$10,000; After the license number and certificate are issued, board staff and Flangas/Rusk will negotiate a 24-month payment plan for the remaining \$7,698. Terms of the repayment will depend on circumstances; If Rusk fails to meet terms of the payment plan, this issue will be brought to the board for possible suspension or revocation.

Erny said he would like the matter to come back to board at the May board meeting so the board can see if Rusk has met the conditions. Ciesynski said she would like to grant a conditional license today so the board does not have to discuss the matter again in May.

**Motion:** Ciesynski moved to approve the terms negotiated between board staff and Flangas/Rusk as outlined by Ling, which are:

- Board will issue a conditional license today, subject to a certificate and number not being issued until Rusk provides proof of completion of classes and exams.
  - Successful completion of the California Supplemental Exam (CSE)
  - Four-day Building Codes Education Course in Montana (March 2022)
  - Specific RedVector courses and exams (detailed in board book)
  - Specific NCARB courses and exams (detailed in board book)
- Payment of \$10,000.

- After license number and certificate are issued, board staff and Flangas/Rusk will negotiate a 24-month payment plan for the remaining \$7,698.
- If Rusk fails to meet terms of the payment plan, this issue will be brought to the board for possible suspension or revocation.

Motion seconded by Morelli.

Ling said if the motion passes, this will be committed to writing and presented to Rusk for a clear record of what he must do before being issued a certificate of registration.

**Vote:** Garlock and Snyder recused themselves. Ciesynski, Fleming, Mickey, Morelli, and Wichmann in favor. Erny opposed. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 3C                      Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Residential Designers**

Ciesynski swore-in the following individual as a residential designer:

1. Edgar Montalvo. ....412-RD

**Motion:** Mickey moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individual as a residential designer. Motion seconded by Wichmann.

**Vote:** All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 14A                      Registered Interior Designer Report – FYI: Nominations for CIDQ 2023 Board of Directors**

Fleming said that there is a webinar on April 6 regarding the joint report between NCARB and CIDQ. CIDQ announced that nominations for the board of directors are open. Fleming has been working with UNLV and the local interior design community to increase community participation with the program. There is a Career Day on April 9, at which Fleming and Hatfield will speak about licensing.

**AGENDA ITEM 15                      Public Member Report**

There was no report.

**AGENDA ITEM 16                      Executive Director Report**

Harrison said the database migration will start soon. Staff will spend two days testing the system before it goes live. There will be online application portals, in addition to online renewals.

Harrison said the next board meeting will be May 25, 2022. It will likely be a virtual meeting unless Southwest restores some of its flights between Reno and Las Vegas.

**AGENDA ITEM 18**      **Public Information Report**

Hatfield said there is information included in the board e-books, FYI. She attended the career fairs at Coronado HS, Las Vegas HS and Canyon Springs HS. Hatfield announced that the board is changing e-newsletter vendors.

Board members discussed going to high schools in northern Nevada and getting the word out once the TMCC architecture program is fully approved.

**AGENDA ITEM 17**      **Board Counsel Report**

Ling had nothing to report.

**AGENDA ITEM 19**      **Items for Future Agenda**

- Clarification on what is required for retired members of a firm (NAC 623.740.3(a))
- Possible amendments to NAC 623.410 to allow the board to accept MRA candidates
- Possible amendments to NAC 623 to delete outdated items

**AGENDA ITEM 20**      **Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

Chairman Erny adjourned the meeting at 2:44 p.m.

---

Monica Harrison, Executive Director

---

Kimberly Ciesynski, Secretary/Treasurer