

**MINUTES FOR BOARD MEETING OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE,
INTERIOR DESIGN AND RESIDENTIAL DESIGN**

March 1, 2017

The Gina Spaulding Boardroom

2080 East Flamingo Road, Suite 120, Las Vegas, NV 89119

Wednesday, March 1, 2017

Chairman James Mickey called the meeting to order at 8:51 a.m.

Roll Call: James Mickey, Chairman; Kimberly Ciesynski, Secretary/Treasurer; Gregory Erny; Ann Fleming; George Garlock; John Klai; John Morelli; William Snyder; Nathaniel Waugh.

Also in attendance: Monica Harrison, Executive Director; Louis Ling, Legal Counsel; Laura Bach, Chief Investigator; Ana Goins, Executive Assistant; Ginger Hahn, Public Information Coordinator.

AGENDA ITEM 1 Public Comment

There was no public comment.

AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of Consent Agenda

Consent agenda included the following:

- A. Approval of Agenda
- B. Approval of Minutes: January 11, 2017
- C. Secretary/Treasurer Report (reports and bank statements)
 - 1. Nevada Architect, Registered Interior Designer and Residential Designer Licensing Statistics
 - 2. Wells Fargo Bank Statements
- D. Ratification of Reciprocal Licenses (see attached list)
- E. Firm Name Approval Requests
 - 1. Building Possibilities 2, LLC
 - 2. STREETSENSE ARCHITECTURE STUDIO
 - 3. Star Design, PLLC
- F. Firm Registration Approval Requests
 - 1. Allen & Philp Architects, PC
 - 2. Avalon Architectural, Inc.
 - 3. HansonLA
 - 4. sage modern, inc.
 - 5. SRA 360
 - 6. Katterra Architecture II LLC

Architects: Registration by Reciprocity

| | | | |
|------|--------------------|------|-----------------------|
| 7574 | Thomas G. Matlock | 7588 | Brian G. Fabo |
| 7575 | Terry J. Novak | 7589 | James Mellor |
| 7576 | Justin J. Gregonis | 7590 | Philip L. Stewart |
| 7577 | Tamarah R. Begay | 7591 | Paul D. Taylor |
| 7578 | Kellie L. Altruda | 7592 | Byung IL Yoo |
| 7579 | David B. Aria | 7593 | Dominic A. Iacoubucci |

| | | | |
|------|---------------------|------|--------------------|
| 7580 | Seth A. Cavin | 7594 | Boyd W. Rau |
| 7581 | Gerald M. Cook | 7595 | Stanley M. Ramaker |
| 7582 | Ted Grumblatt | 7596 | Steven A. Starr |
| 7583 | Susan N. Kougas | 7597 | Aaron W. West |
| 7584 | Eric A. Pagan | 7600 | Kenny L. Gallegos |
| 7585 | Richard A. Weber | 7601 | David E. Kim |
| 7586 | Robert S. Berz, Jr. | 7602 | Nathan A. Morgan |
| 7587 | Jonathan R. Knight | | |

Residential Designers: Registration by Reciprocity

350-RD Steven A. Norwood

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the consent agenda items 2A, 2C through 2F-2, and 2F-4 through 2F-5. Motion seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Agenda Item 2B Approval of Minutes: January 11, 2017

Motion: Erny moved to approve the January 11, 2017 board meeting minutes. Motion seconded by Klai.

Erny pointed out that the second sentence of the eighth paragraph of page 15 (page 20 of the board meeting book) of the January 11, 2017 board meeting minutes was incomplete. The minutes read, "The board does not have the authority to use evidentiary hearings to investigate the conduct of its," with no closing punctuation.

Motion: Erny amended his previous motion to approve the January 11, 2017 board meeting minutes with the second sentence of the eighth paragraph of page 15 being amended to read as, "The board does not have the authority to use evidentiary hearings to investigate the conduct of its board counsel." Motion seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Agenda Item 2F-3 HansonLA

Motion: Klai moved to approve the firm name approval request for "HansonLA" Motion seconded by Waugh.

Klai said that one of the partners of the California based firm is not a registrant of NSBAIDRD and is noted as an interior designer. He suggested, if the firm name registration is approved, that the applicant be notified that the profession of registered interior design is regulated in Nevada.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Agenda Item 2F-6 Katerra Architecture II LLC

Motion: Garlock moved to deny the firm registration approval request for "Katerra Architecture II LLC." Motion seconded by Waugh.

Garlock pointed out that the applicant did not list the individual names of the partners, owning 33.3%, noted on the application as "Katerra Architecture LLC" on the Firm Registration Approval Form.

A separate document listing the partners was submitted after board staff requested such. The document submitted listed only the names of the corporations that held the 33.3% interest, not the names of individuals behind the corporation. The following statement was included with the list in a letter submitted by the firm's attorney:

"This information is provided to the Nevada State Board of Architecture (the "Board") on the understanding, based on our firm's prior communications with you, that it will be received and maintained on a fully confidential basis and for the review of the Board only. This information shall not, at any time, be available to any individual or entity other than members of the Board to be used solely for the purpose of their review of the Applicant's firm registration."

In the interest of protecting the public and keeping the review process transparent, the board decided that without the names of the individuals holding shares of the corporations that own Katerra Architecture LLC, firm registration in Nevada could not be approved. The board also directed staff to let the applicant know that any information and documentation presented and reviewed at a board meeting is considered public information.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Agenda Item 3A Deliberations/Action on Applications for Registration: Architect

Ciesynski swore in the following individuals as architects:

1. Andrea Limpede.....7598
2. Carlos Lausso.....7599

Motion: Erny moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as architects. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Mickey introduced Randy Lavigne, executive director of AIA Nevada and AIA Las Vegas. Lavigne thanked the board for allowing AIA to participate in the registration ceremony.

Lavigne told the new registrants that the AIA is a professional association that offers support and resources for those in the design profession. She welcomed them into the profession on behalf of the 90,000 AIA members across the country and presented them with a Certificate of Recognition.

Harrison congratulated the new registrants on behalf of the board and staff. She encouraged them to reach out to staff with any concerns they may have in the future and stressed the importance of researching the laws in other jurisdictions prior to pursuit of any projects in those jurisdictions. Harrison encouraged the registrants to become NCARB (National Council of Architectural Registration Boards) certified in order to facilitate licensure by reciprocity.

Mickey congratulated the new registrants and welcomed them to the profession. He told them that the board conducts the registration ceremony to commemorate the accomplishment of becoming

registered and so that they can meet the board and staff. Mickey said the board and staff is always available to assist them.

Erny expanded on the value of obtaining an NCARB Certificate including that certification facilitates registration with 54 national licensing boards as well as in other countries.

Agenda Item 4 **Review and Possible Decision Regarding Application of
Helmut J. Hammen for Architectural Reciprocal
Registration Pursuant to NRS 623.210**

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the application of Helmut J. Hammen for architectural reciprocal registration. Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Agenda Item 5 **Review and Possible Decision Regarding Application of
Owen F. Slagle III for Architectural Reciprocal
Registration Pursuant to NRS 623.210**

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the application of Owen F. Slagle for architectural reciprocal registration. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Agenda Item 8 **Review and Possible Decision Regarding Whether or Not
Planning Department Submittals Constitute the Practice
of Architecture**

Erny said he had been approached by individuals in the design profession questioning if submissions to planning departments, and things along those lines, constitute the practice of architecture. Submittals in question include site plans, floor plans, elevation plans, studies that go before boards of adjustment, special use permits, plans for HOA approval, and submittals that don't require a stamp.

The board discussed whether or not the above mentioned submittals constitute the practice of architecture. It was agreed that preparation of the preliminary documents does constitute the practice of architecture, and the documents should only be prepared by individuals that are licensed to do so.

Motion: Garlock moved that planning department submittals do constitute the practice of architecture. He directed staff to draft a document addressing the issue and send it to agencies that receive submittals. Motion seconded by Morelli.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Erny suggested that the matter also be introduced at the next meeting of the Nevada Construction Industry Relations Committee (NCIRC) in order to have pertinent information included in the next version of *The Blue Book*.

Agenda Item 6

Discussion and Possible Decision Regarding the Approval of the Firm Name EZ PLANS

Motion: Morelli moved to approve the firm name "EZ PLANS." Motion seconded by Waugh.

Glen Salcedo, a Nevada registered architect, appeared before the board.

Salcedo's request for firm name approval first came before the board at its January 11, 2017 board meeting as agenda item 2E-1 and proceeded as follows:

Agenda Item 2E-1

EZ PLANS

Motion: Klai moved to approve the firm name approval request for "EZ Plans." Motion seconded by Waugh.

Mickey said that EZ Plans is a franchise in California and questioned who would be in responsible control under a franchise agreement. The board was also concerned with the business structure of EZ Plans and what Mr. Salcedo, the applicant, intended to do in Nevada under the name. Goins was instructed to call Salcedo for clarification. Further clarification was still needed after a phone conversation with and an email from Salcedo.

Motion: Klai amended the motion to table Agenda Item 2E-1 until the next board meeting and request that Salcedo appear before the board for further clarification. Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

The board told Salcedo, due to their obligation to protect the public, they needed clarification of the business structure of EZ Plans and to know his business intentions in Nevada.

Salcedo said EZ Plans is registered as an architectural firm in California that also has a franchise division. He explained that purchase of a franchise provides the franchisee (which must be a registered architect) with a template and model to operate and manage from. Salcedo said his immediate plans do not include franchising in Nevada, but he may want sell franchises in the future. He explained that if his firm chose to sell franchises in Nevada, the firm would meet with the board before beginning any paperwork.

Garlock told Salcedo that the NSBAIDRD allows only one firm to use an approved name. He further explained that since duplication of firm names is not allowed in Nevada, a franchisee would not be able to operate under the same name as his firm.

Garlock asked Salcedo why he was listed as a sole proprietor of the California based firm since there are other partners in the firm. Salcedo replied that there are 2 different divisions of EZ Plans in California, and he is the only owner of the second division.

Mickey explained to Salcedo that Nevada would not allow him to use the name EZ Plans as a sole proprietor due to duplication of the firm name in California, again because of the possibility of it being misleading to the public.

Ling suggested that the board approve a firm name for Salcedo and said that when the first franchisee applies for firm name approval, the board could decide at that time what would be acceptable as a variation of the firm name.

Motion: Morelli amended the motion to allow Salcedo to change the *Proposed Name of Business* on the application to "EZ Plans Las Vegas," with approval being contingent upon submittal of the supportive documents. Motion seconded by Waugh.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9A-1

Case No. 15-030R - In the Matter of Barry Tedesco, Rhonda Rush, and Alchemy Design Group, LLC

The respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.270.1 (c) and NRS 623.270.1 (f) by being negligent and deviating from the normal standard of professional care exercised by other members in the profession of architecture.

Staff received a complaint from Jeff Prestinario from the Tramonto HOA stating that the respondents were unethical and negligent in performing their duties as outlined in their construction management contract. An enforcement advisory member (EAM) was contacted to review a packet of information and provide an opinion as to whether the respondents were negligent. This case was also discussed with Board Counsel and Board Chair Mickey and it was concluded that Alchemy did inadequately communicate with the HOA Board. The majority of problems that arose were due to a lack of communication and poor business practices. This resulted in Alchemy being negligent in performing its duties outlined in its contract and as a construction management company.

The respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning this project. The respondents' case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the respondents an opportunity to settle this issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Non-Admission of Guilt Clause, An Admonishment of Respondent Tedesco, and payment of the Investigative Costs in the amount of \$3,800.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Erny.

Vote: Garlock and Mickey recused themselves. Klai abstained. All others in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 7

2017 Legislative Session Report – Jim Wadhams, Lobbyist

Wadhams said he had been communicating with Harrison concerning the 2017 Nevada Legislative Session.

He reported that Senate Bill 69 (SB 69) would be of concern to the NSBAIDRD. He said the bill limits the amount of time that a board member may serve to a maximum of twelve years.

Erny asked Wadhams to expand on sections 2 and 3 of SB 69 which relate to licensing by endorsement and reciprocity. Mickey added to the question asking if this would eliminate the board's current reciprocity process.

Wadhams said that these sections were intended to make sure that the boards have systems in place that allow ease in the licensing process for individuals registering by endorsement or reciprocity. He expanded by saying that there are currently boards with no process in place, and the intention of this bill is to make it mandatory for those boards to put a processes in place that are not "unnecessarily restrictive."

Erny said that section 5 of SB 69 mentions prohibiting regulatory bodies from entering into an agreement for payment of fees for legal services on a contingent basis. He asked Wadhams how this would affect Ling's employment with the board.

Wadhams responded that section 5 of SB 69 would not jeopardize the board's right to engage Ling.

AGENDA ITEM 9A-2 **Case No. 17-010N - In the Matter of Ken Wolfson and Ken Wolfson Interior Design**

The respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (b) and NRS 623.360.1 (c) by advertising services that fall under the practice of architecture and registered interior design on their website (www.kenwolfson.com), Facebook, and Houzz and by engaging in the practice of architecture and registered interior design for three Nevada projects without having a certificate of registration with this board.

Staff received anonymous information that the respondents may be involved in the design of a new restaurant called Kitchen Table. An internet search returned a website, Facebook account, and Houzz account that were advertising two restaurants and a real estate office as completed projects in Nevada. Further investigation revealed that the respondents had specified the FF&E for all three projects and had provided conceptual space planning for the two restaurants. The respondents were educated about the different types of interior design and given options on to how to get licensed or perform the work legally if they did not qualify for licensure.

The respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning these projects. The respondents' case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the respondents an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement and confession of judgment were negotiated. The settlement agreement incorporates a Guilt Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$5,500, of which \$5,500 is stayed as long as the respondents remain in compliance with the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,800.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9A-3 **Case No. 17-023R - In the Matter of Robert L. Swift**

The respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.270.1 (f), NRS 623.360 (b), and NRS 623.360 (c) by working outside the scope of a residential designer's registration.

Staff received a complaint from Yohannes Belai that his contractor hired an unlicensed designer to do the architectural drawings for his tenant improvement. Further investigation revealed that the unlicensed designer was a residential designer (respondent) who prepared a floor plan for a commercial project (Lidet Bakery & Café).

The respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning these projects. The respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing

before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated. The settlement agreement incorporates a Guilt Clause and requires the respondent to either pay an Administrative Penalty of \$5,000 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,000 or make restitution to the complainant in the amount of \$850 and the Administrative Penalty of \$5,000 will be stayed. The respondent chose to make restitution and pay the Investigative Costs of \$1,000.

Additionally, the settlement agreement incorporates probation for a period of one year during which time the respondent must submit to board staff within 5 days of execution, and a copy of every contract for which his professional services have been engaged.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9A-4 Case No. 17-029N - In the Matter of Dale R. Appleby and Appleby & Lacetti Architects, Inc.

The respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a), NRS 623.360.1 (b), and NRS 623.360.1 (c) by holding himself out and engaging in the practice of architecture prior to having a certificate of registration with this board.

During a reciprocity interview staff asked the respondent if he had issued a proposal or prepared any drawings for his Nevada project. The respondent stated that he had prepared some preliminary drawings but that no proposal had been issued. Copies of the drawings were obtained which had the respondent's name on the title block and listed him as "architect."

The respondent was sent a Notice of Investigation/Charges concerning this project. The respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Non-Admission of Guilt Clause, an Effect on Licensure Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$7,500 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,000.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Erny.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9A-5 Case No. 17-030N - In the Matter of David C. Burton and Db2 Architecture, Inc.

The respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (b) by disseminating a proposal for architectural services without having a certificate of registration with this board.

During a reciprocity interview staff asked the applicant if she had issued a proposal or prepared any drawings for a Nevada project. The applicant stated that a proposal had been issued but no drawings were prepared. The applicant was asked if a site visit had

been performed and she answered yes. Further investigation revealed that the applicant did not have anything to do with the issuance of the proposal or the site visit and the principal that signed the proposal was the respondent who is not a licensed architect in the United States.

The respondent was sent a Notice of Investigation/Charges concerning this project. The respondent's case was discussed with Executive Director Harrison and the decision was made to offer the respondent an opportunity to settle this matter informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$1,000 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,000.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Waugh moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.
Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9B **Discussion and Possible Decision Regarding Closure of Enforcement Cases**

Bach recommended the following cases for closure without disciplinary action:

17-015N 15-026N 17-027N 17-028N

Motion: Waugh moved to close the above-referenced case. Motion seconded by Snyder.
Vote: Mickey recused himself. All others in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9C **Enforcement Report**

Bach presented a report on the results from a questionnaire she had sent to the building departments. The survey asked if the departments accept "for reference only" plans without a stamp and what the protocols are for accepting specialty plans and plans from roofing consultants.

Due the variance in responses, the board suggested that staff write a letter to the building departments informing them of best practices in the matters addressed. Harrison said the letter would be brought before the board at its June meeting for approval.

Bach suggested a notification in the newsletter alerting registrants that the letter was sent to the building departments. She said it would also serve as an opportunity for her to remind registrants not to stamp plans they were not in responsible control of.

Agenda Item 10A **Review and Discussion of Proposed Draft NCARB Resolutions that will be Discussed at the Regional Summit on March 10 – 11, 2017**

NCARB had presented only one draft resolution that would be reviewed and discussed at NCARB's 2017 Regional Summit. The resolution addressed how NCARB would handle the issue of jurisdictional boards who are unable to remit payment of membership dues to NCARB.

The board discussed the draft resolution.

Agenda Item 10B Review and Discussion Regarding NCARB's Response to the American Board of Architecture Statement

The board book included a copy of NCARB's *Statement Regarding Recent "American Board of Architecture" Announcement*.

The American Board of Architecture (ABA) claims that it is "creating fair compensation to break the current monopoly on the architecture licensing process" and that they "have received an outpouring of public support validating the dire need for a competitive process."

NCARB's statement addressed claims made by the ABA and welcomed input from representatives of the ABA and other interested parties.

Agenda Item 10C FYI: NCARB Candidate Announcements for the 2016-17 Board of Directors

Mickey said this information was provided for board members' information.

Agenda Item 10D FYI: Region 6 WCARB Executive Committee on Governance Structure and Candidate Announcements

Mickey said this information was provided for board members' information.

Agenda Item 10E FYI: NCARB Fast Facts February 2017

Mickey said this information was provided for board members' information.

Agenda Item 10E FYI: NCARB Update January 2017

Mickey said this information was provided for board members' information.

Agenda Item 12A Update Regarding the Residential Design Exam

Harrison reported that eight people took the written residential design exam in February. Two of the candidates passed.

Agenda Item 12B Update Regarding the Nevada Graphic Residential Content - Set Date for Next Exam Committee Meeting

Harrison said that the Nevada Graphic Residential Design Exam needed to be updated by the Residential Design Exam Committee.

Erny said a backlog of questions for the Residential Design Written Exam would also need to be written soon.

The committee (Erny, Mickey, Morelli, and Snyder) agreed to meet in the near future.

Agenda Item 13A

Registered Interior Design Issues

Ciesynski asked Harrison if she had an update on the candidates going through CIDQ's Alternative Review Process (ARP). Harrison said the candidates were waiting for the application to be made available to them, and that the application that was originally posted on CIDQ's website was in need of revision.

Ciesynski reported that CIDQ would be pre-testing the new computerized Practicum in April and May. She said CIDQ would release information on how the exam works in June.

Ciesynski told the board that she was looking into CIDQ committees for Fleming to become involved in but that there was presently no opportunity available.

Fleming asked Harrison how people were being alerted about the new path to licensure (CIDQ's ARP) as a registered interior designer. Harrison said the information was posted on the NSBAIDRD website and an article about it was in the latest edition of *Focus*.

Fleming suggested implementing the information on a national level.

Ciesynski suggested that Hahn send out a press release to the American Society of Interior Designers (ASID), International Interior Design Association (IIDA), and interior designers of Canada (idc).

Agenda Item 14

Public Member Report

Waugh said he had nothing to report at the time.

Agenda Item 15

Executive Director Report

Harrison said that continuing education audit letters were recently mailed out.

She reported that 2,990 (out of a possible 3,100) people had renewed their registration for 2017 as of February 28. Harrison said that online renewals had increased to 2,400 from 2,200 the previous year.

Harrison said she would be speaking at the Southern Nevada International Code Council Meeting on April 13 with Patty Mamola from the Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors regarding the stamping and signing of plans.

Harrison congratulated Erny on his recent elevation into the AIA College of Fellows and Garlock for being featured in the "Member Spotlight" of the AIA Las Vegas' *FORUM* publication.

She announced that Goins would be separating employment from the board in July. She told thanked Goins she would be missed and thanked her for her service.

Goins thanked the board and staff for the opportunities she had been given over the years.

The board thanked Goins and wished her well.

Agenda Item 16

Board Counsel Report

Ling announced that Dennis Rusk would be suing the NSBAIDRD. Rusk was the respondent in two previous cases (08-08R and 11-019R). Rusk is asking the court to order the board to vacate its order from 2011 and have another hearing. Rusk's complaints concern prosecutorial misconduct among various other things.

Ling said the board's written response to that order was due in about ten days and that there would be a hearing on March 30. He said the board members could attend if they wanted to.

Ling reported that the Office of the Nevada Attorney General wanted to participate in the hearing through Brett Kandt, Chief of Boards and Commissions Section, due to the prosecutorial misconduct charges. He said they may also want to be involved due to the allegations in the matter revolving around the way Nevada Deputy Attorney General Sophia Long advised the board at its January 11, 2017 board meeting. Ling told the board that it was agreed that he would be lead counsel and the Nevada Attorney General would probably cosign the document he would be filing.

Agenda Item 17

Public Information Report

Hahn reported that she attended a partnership advisory board meeting at Southwest Career and Technical Academy on January 19. The school has 11 career programs, one being of interior design. Hahn said the interior design program leader expressed the need for more involvement from professionals in the program. Hahn told the board that SWCTA was in need of volunteers to judge its "Capstone Projects," the final projects for seniors in the program.

In February, Hahn returned to the school to speak with the SWCTA's junior and senior classes concerning the path to registration.

She said she spoke to architecture students at UNLV in Eric Strain's Pro Practice Management Class. The discussion focused on illegal practice and generated lots of conversation among the students.

Hahn contacted the new president of UNLV's "Interior Architecture + Design Students Organization" to set up a date to speak to the group in March.

Per the board's request, Hahn asked Glenn Nowak, UNLV Associate Professor of Architecture, about holding a board meeting at the campus. Due to parking constraints, Nowak said it would be more fitting for him to coordinate a field trip to the board office. He said it would be a great learning experience for students.

Hahn reported that all of the speakers for the 2017 NSBAIDRD/AIA Nevada Continuing Education Seminar were in order.

She said there were currently 1,102 bill draft requests introduced in the 2017 Nevada Legislative Session and that she was watching 78 of them.

Agenda Item 18

Items for Future Agenda

- Presentation of (for approval) letter written to building departments informing of best practices for acceptance of “for reference only” plans without a stamp, specialty plans, and plans from roofing consultants

AGENDA ITEM 19

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Chairman Mickey adjourned the meeting at 2:31 p.m.

Monica Harrison, Executive Director

Kimberly Ciesynski, Secretary/Treasurer